Determinants of low APGAR score among preeclamptic deliveries in Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital: a retrospective cohort study in 2014

Sulaeman A. Susilo, Karina N. Pratiwi, Adly N.A. Fattah, Rima Irwinda, Noroyono Wibowo Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

ABSTRAK

Latar belakang: Preeklampsia berimplikasi besar pada luaran neonatus. Skor appearance, pulse, grimace, activity, respiration (APGAR) 1 atau 5 menit adalah salah satu indikator kematangan fisiologis anak. Oleh karena itu, penelitian ini bertujuan mencari hubungan skor APGAR pada persalinan dengan preeklampsia.

Metode: Penelitian ini menggunakan design kohort retrospektif. Data didapatkan pada bulan Januari sampai Desember 2013 dari seluruh wanita preeklamsia yang melahirkan bayi tunggal di Rumah Sakit Cipto Mangunkusumo, Jakarta. Hasil luaran salah satunya berupa skor APGAR. Analisis multivariat dengan menggunakan binari logistik digunakan untuk mencari korelasi antara skor APGAR dengan faktor-faktor resiko pada preeklampsia, hasil penelitian diuji dengan uji kai kuadrat.

Hasil: Sebanyak 446 memenuhi kriteria inklusi dari 450 wanita dengan preeklampsia, 4 diantaranya tereksklusi karena data yang tidak lengkap. Skor APGAR 1 dan 5 menit berhasil dikumpulkan pada 19% (86/446) dan 5,4% (24/446) dari neonatus. Onset awal preeklampsia (OR adj = 4,577; 95% CI = 2,147 – 9,757), leukosit darah \geq 15.000/µL (OR adj = 3,315; 95% CI = 1,738 – 6,324), sindrom HELLP (OR adj = 2,00; 95% CI = 1,38–2,91) merupakan faktor risiko independen pada skor APGAR rendah 1 menit pertama. Sementara itu, tidak terdapat faktor risiko yang signifkan antara determinan dengan skor APGAR 5 menit pertama.

Kesimpulan: Leukositosis, onset awal preeklampsia, lahir preterm, trombositopenia, derajat preeklampsia, dan sindrom HELLP adalah faktor risiko independen skor APGAR rendah dalam satu menit pada anak yang lahir dari ibu dengan preeklampsia.

ABSTRACT

Background: Preeclampsia has great implication on adverse neonatal outcome. Appearance, pulse, grimace, activity, respiration (APGAR) score at 1 or 5 minutes is one of the indicators of physiologic maturity of the infant. Therefore, the aim of this study was to know the correlation of APGAR score in preeclamptic deliveries with its risk factors.

Methods: This study was a retrospective cohort. Data were collected from January to December 2013 including all preeclamptic women with singleton live pregnancies who delivered their babies in Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta. The primary outcome was APGAR score. There were some determinants conducted in this study. Binary logistic was used as multivariate analysis to analyze the correlation between APGAR score and risk factors of preeclampsia, data were analyzed using chi square test.

Results: Out of 450 preeclamptic women, 446 of them met the inclusion criteria. Low APGAR scores at 1 and 5 minutes were found in 19% (86/446) and 5.4% (24/446) of neonates respectively. Early onset of preeclampsia (adjusted OR = 4.577; 95% CI = 2.147 - 9.757), white blood cell \geq 15,000/ µL (adjusted OR = 3.315; 95% CI = 1.738 - 6.324), HELLP syndrome (adjusted OR = 2.00; 95% CI = 1.38 - 2.91) were independent risk factors for having infant with low APGAR score at 1 minute. Meanwhile, there was no significant risk factors at 5 minutes APGAR score after adjustment.

Conclusion: Leukocytosis, early onset preeclampsia, preterm birth, and thrombocytopenia, severity of preeclampsia, and HELLP syndrome are independent risks of having infant born with low APGAR score at 1 minute in preeclamptic deliveries.

Keywords: APGAR score, newborn, preeclampsia

pISSN: 0853-1773 • eISSN: 2252-8083 • http://dx.doi.org/10.13181/mji.v24i3.1229 • Med J Indones. 2015;24:183-9 • Received 27 Mar 2015 • Accepted 09 Okt 2015

Correspondence author: Sulaeman A. Susilo, sulaeman.andrianto@gmail.com

Copyright @ 2015 Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are properly cited.

Preeclampsia is one of the common conditions of unknown etiology which increase the risk of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality.¹ The exact etiology of preeclampsia remains unknown. Factors that are currently more accepted include abnormal trophoblast invasion of uterine blood vessels, increased vasopressor response and vasospasm, immunological intolerance to the fetus and genetic abnormalities.² Preeclampsia affects three to five percents of pregnancies, it is an important factor in fetal growth restriction as it is commonly associated with placental insufficiency.³ Preeclampsia is a complex, pregnancy - specific hypertensive syndrome of reduced organ perfusion related to vasospasm and activation of the coagulation cascade affecting multiple systems. The major risk to the fetus results from decreased placental perfusion leading to decreased blood supply of oxygen and nutrients necessary for fetal growth and wellbeing.^{1,3}

The various complication seen are low appearance, pulse, grimace, activity, respiration (APGAR) score, intra-uterine growth restriction (IUGR), low birth weight, and increased need for admission to neonatal intensive care unit (NICU).^{4,5}

The APGAR score, devised in 1952 by Dr. Virginia Apgar, is a quick method of assessing the clinical status of the newborn infant.^{6,} The APGAR score comprises of five components: heart rate, respiratory effort, muscle tone, reflex irritability, and color, each of which is given a score of zero, one, or two.⁶ It is important to recognize that elements of the APGAR score are partially dependent on the physiologic maturity of the infant. Low APGAR scores may be indicative of a number of maternal and infant factors.⁶ Identification of possible intrauterine causes of low APGAR score may be important for the prevention of conditions that have been linked to low APGAR score at birth.^{7,8}

Some studies found that preeclamptic women tend to have infant with low APGAR score than healthy women.^{9,10} Proteinuria and increased blood pressure in preeclampsia are associated with a lower fetal birth weight and a lower APGAR score and an increased risk of adverse perinatal outcome.¹¹⁻¹³ Gawde, et al found that in severe cases of preeclampsia, the APGAR score at one minute is two-fold worse than in mild preeclampsia.¹ Five minutes APGAR score also associated with several obstetric risk factors and used to predict the effectiveness of resuscitation.

Preeclampsia is frequently seen in Indonesian population. The intended use of APGAR score has always been the same: to evaluate a newborn's condition at birth. This study was conducted to correlate preeclampsia with APGAR score to asses the condition of newborn infant.

METHODS

This retrospective cohort study was performed between January to December 2013. The inclusion criteria were preeclamptic women with singleton live pregnancy who delivered their babies in Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta. The exclusion criteria were patients with incomplete data. The study population consisted of 450 preeclamptic women, four out of them were excluded for not having a complete data. Primary outcome measure was morbidity of the infant as in APGAR score at first and fifth minutes which were determined by perinatology residents. We divided group of low APGAR score (< 7) and normal APGAR score (\geq 7). We obtained data from the database based on medical record, which included age of gestation, maternal age, IUGR, eclampsia, anemia, low birth weight, mean arterial pressure (MAP), early or late preeclampsia, parity, white blood cell, platelet, frequency of antenatal care (ANC), and severity of preeclampsia which we used as independent variables.

Gestational age was calculated as the best obstetrical estimate according to the last menstrual period, or if it is not available, we used ultrasonography. IUGR was diagnosed based on ultrasonography when the fetal weight is less than the tenth percentile for the gestational age, or at least two standard deviations below the mean weight for the gestational age. Diagnosis for mild preeclampsia was based on systolic blood pressure \geq 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mmHg on two occasions, proteinuria \geq 300 mg per 24 hours or positive (+) using dipstick test but without evidence of end organ damage in the patient; severe pre-eclampsia if the systolic blood pressure \geq 160 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure \geq 110 mmHg measured at least twice in several minutes, proteinuria > 5 g per 24 hours collection or \geq ++ dipstick test on two random urine samples or symptoms, and or biochemical and or hematological impairment, and any organ involvement. Hemolysis, elevated liver enzyme levels, low platelet levels (HELLP) syndrome was defined as preeclampsia with platelet count persistently <100,000/mm³, serum transaminases twice than normal. Superimposed pre-eclampsia was women with chronic hypertension and *de novo* proteinuria after 20 weeks of pregnancy. Early or late preeclampsia were defined as mothers who diagnosed preeclampsia either at <34 weeks or \geq 34 weeks of gestational age.

Binary logistic was used as multivariate analysis to analyze the correlation between age of gestation, maternal age, IUGR, eclampsia, anemia, low birth weight, MAP, early or late preeclampsia, parity, leukocyte, platelet, frequency of ANC, and severity of preeclampsia and APGAR score. P <0.05 was taken as the limit of statistical significance.

RESULTS

There were 2,143 deliveries registered in our database over a year period. Out of 450 women who were diagnosed as pre-eclampsia, 446 of them met the inclusion criteria and had a complete data. The distribution of characteristics and the risk factors of low APGAR score among the subjects are shown in table 1.

Table 2 shows the comparison of low APGAR score and normal score in one minute with risk factors of preeclampsia. We found that weeks of gestational age (WGA), platelet, hematocrit, white blood cell, onset of preeclampsia, IUGR, and MAP are statistically significant in this study. Maternal age, anemia, frequent ANC were not associated with preeclamptic women having infant with low APGAR score. We determined the cut off value of hematocrit count using receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve. The cut-off levels for hematocrit was 38.95 %.

Table 3 shows the comparison of low APGAR score and normal score in five minutes with confounding factors of preeclampsia. We found that WGA, platelet, onset and severity of preeclampsia were statistically significant in this study. Maternal age, anemia, white blood cell, hematocrit, frequent ANC, and MAP were **Table 1.** Clinical characteristics and delivery outcomes ofpreeclamptic women in the study

Variables	Values
Maternal age (year), n (%)	
Low risk (< 35)	288 (64.7%)
High tisk (\geq 35)	157 (35.3%)
Parity, n (%)	
Nullipara	183 (41%)
Multipara	263 (59%)
WGA (weeks), median (min – max)	37 (25 – 42)
Aterm, n (%)	268 (60.1%)
Preterm,n (%)	178 (39.9%)
Birth weight (grams), mean ± SD	2560 ± 781.04
Systolic BP (mmHg), median (min – max)	160 (90-270)
Diastolic BP (mmHg), median (min – max)	100 (100-170)
Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) (mean + SD)	126.67 (106-160)
Platelet count (x10³/μL), median (min – max)	248 (19.9-69.7)
Hemoglobin level (g/dL), median (min – max)	13 (8-17)
Leukocyte level (x10³/µL), median (min – max)	13.55 (7.22-31.80)
Hematocrit (%), mean ± SD	37.40 ± 14.98
APGAR score 1 minute, n (%)	
Low	86 (19.3%)
Normal	360 (80.7%)
APGAR score 5 minute, n (%)	
Low	24 (5.4%)
Normal	422 (94.6%)
ANC >3 times, n (%)	
Yes	361 (80.9%)
No	85 (19.1%)
Severity, n (%)	
Mild pre-eclampsia	48 (10.8%)
Severe pre-eclampsia	345 (77.4%)
Superimposed pre-eclampsia	15 (3.4%)
HELLP syndrome	38 (8.5%)
Eclampsia	31 (7%)
Onset, n (%)	
Early onset	99 (22.2%)
Late onset	347 (77.8%)
Anemia (Hb < 11 g/dl), n (%)	58 (13.6%)
IUGR, n (%)	29 (6.5%)

WGA = weeks of gestational age; APGAR = appearance, pulse, grimace, activity, respiration; ANC = antenatal care; HELLP = hemolysis, elevated liver enzyme levels, low platelet levels; IUGR = intra-uterine growth restriction

Variables	Low APGAR score n (%)	Normal APGAR score n (%)	р	OR (CI = 95%)
Maternal Age				
Productive	59 (68.6%)	229 (63.8%)	0.401	1.24 (0.75-2.05)
Non-productive	27 (31.4%)	131 (36.2%)		
Week of gestation				
Aterm (>34 weeks)	17 (19.8%)	251 (69.7%)	< 0.001*	0.11 (0.06 – 0.19)
Preterm (28 – 34 weeks)	69 (80.2%)	109 (30.3%)		
Platelet				
≥151x10³/µL	65 (75.6%)	342 (95%)	< 0.001*	6.14 (3.10 - 12.15
<151x10 ³ /µL	21 (24.4%)	18 (5%)		
Anemia				
No	70 (81.4%)	282 (78.3%)	0.532	1.21 (0.67 – 2.20)
Yes	16 (18.6%)	78 (21.7%)		
Hematocrit				
≥38.9	40 (46.5%)	111 (30.8%)	0.006*	1.95 (1.20 – 3.15)
<38.9	46 (53.5%)	249 (69.2%)		
Leukocyte				
≥15 × 10³/µL	49 (57%)	125 (34.7%)	< 0.001*	0.40 (0.25 – 0.65)
<15 × 10 ³ /µL	37 (43%)	235 (65.3%)		
Antenatal care (ANC)				
Yes	68 (79.1%)	293 (81.4%)	0.623	0.86 (0.48 - 1.55)
No	18 (20.9%)	67 (18.6%)		
Onset				
Early-onset	55 (64%)	44 (12.2%)	< 0.001*	12.7 (7.41 – 21.90
Late-onset	31 (36%)	316 (87.8%)		
Intra-uterine growth restriction				
Yes	12 (14%)	17 (4.7%)	0.002*	3.27 (1.50 - 7.14)
No	74 (86%)	343 (95.3%)		
Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)				
<135	59 (68.6%)	300 (83.3%)	0.002*	0.44 (0.26 - 0.75)
≥135	27 (31.4%)	60 (16.7%)		
Severity				
Mild pre-eclampsia ^a	4 (4.7%)	44 (12.2%)	< 0.001*	2.00 (1.38 - 2.91)
Severe pre-eclampsia	54 (62.8%)	291 (80.8%)		
Superimposed	6 (7%)	9 (2.5%)		
HELLP Syndrome	22 (25.6%)	16 (4.4%)		

Table 2. Comparison between low and normal APGAR score at 1 minute group based on obstetrical characteristics

*Chi-Square, ^ap < 0.05 severity of preeclampsia are fused in analyses; HELLP = hemolysis, elevated liver enzyme levels, low platelet levels

not associated with preeclamptic women having infant with low APGAR score.

We adjusted leukocyte $\geq 15 \times 10^3/\mu$ L, early or late onset preeclampsia, preterm birth, platelet $\geq 151 \times 10^3/\mu$ L, MAP ≥ 135 mmHg, hematocrit ≥ 38.95 % with APGAR score at one minute outcome and found leukocyte $\geq 15 \times 10^3/\mu$ L (adj usted OR = 3.63; 95% CI = 1.93 – 6.86), early or late preeclampsia (adj usted OR = 0.20; 95% CI = 0.09 – 0.42), preterm birth (adj usted OR = 0.32; 95% CI = 0.14 – 0.70), and platelet level (adj usted OR = 0.38; 95% CI = 0.17 – 0.86) as independent risk factors for having infant with low APGAR score at one minute. Using binary logistic, we found that mothers with MAP \geq 135 (adj usted OR = 1.00; 95% CI = 0.51 – 2.0) hematocrit \geq 38.95% (adj usted OR = 0.75; 95% CI = 0.42 – 1.34) were

Variables	Low APGAR score n (%)	Normal APGAR score n (%)	Р	OR (CI = 95%)
Maternal age				
Low risk	16 (66.7%)	273 (64.6%)	0.837	1.10 (0.46 - 2.62)
High risk	8 (33.3%)	149 (35.4%)		
Week of gestation				
Aterm	4 (16.7%)	264 (62.6%)	< 0.001*	0.12 (0.04 - 0.36)
Preterm	20 (83.3%)	158 (37.4%)		
Platelet				
≥ 15 x 10³/µL	16 (66.7%)	391 (92.7%)	< 0.001*	6.31 (2.50 - 15.89)
< 15 x 10 ³ /µL	8 (33.3%)	31 (7.3%)		
Anemia				
No	20 (87%)	347 (86.3%)	0.931	0.95 (0.27 - 3.29)
Yes	3 (13%)	55 (13.7%)		
Hematocrit				
≥38.95	10 (41.7%)	141 (33.4%)	0.406	1.42 (0.62 - 3.29)
<38.95	14 (58.3%)	281 (66.6%)		
Leukocyte				
≥15 x 10³/µL	13 (54.2%)	161 (38.2%)	0.118	0.52 (0.23 - 1.19)
<15 x 10 ³ /µL	11 (45.8%)	261 (61.8%)		
Antenatal care (ANC)				
Yes	20 (83.3%)	341 (80.8%)	0.759	1.19 (0.40 - 3.57)
No	4 (16.7%)	81 (19.2%)		
Onset				
Early-onset	17 (70.8%)	82 (19.4%)	< 0.001*	0.10 (0.04 - 0.25)
Late-onset	7 (29.2%)	340 (80.6%)		
Intra-uterine growth restriction				
Yes	5 (20.8%)	24 (5.7%)	0.003*	4.36 (1.50 - 12.70)
No	19 (79.2%)	398 (94.3%)		
Mean arterial pressure				
<135	18 (75%)	341 (80.8%)	0.485	0.71 (0.27 - 1.85)
≥135	6 (25%)	81 (19.2%)		
Severity				
Mild pre-eclampsia ^a	0 (%)	48 (11.4%)	< 0.001*	1.26 (1.06 - 1.51)
Severe pre-eclampsia ^b	14 (58.3%)	331 (78.4%)		
Superimposed ^c	1 (4.2%)	14 (3.3%)		
HELLP syndrome	9 (37.5%)	29 (6.9%)		

Table 3. Comparison between low and normal APGAR score at 5 minutes group based on obstetrical characteristics

*Chi-Square, ^ap <0.05, severity of preeclampsia are combined in analyses (a,b,c); HELLP = hemolysis, elevated liver enzyme levels, low platelet levels

not at increased risk for having infant born with low APGAR score.

95% CI = 0.15 – 1.11), and IUGR (adj usted OR = 0.05; 95% CI = 0.93 – 1.00) were not significant risk factor for infant born with low APGAR score.

We adjusted early onset preeclampsia, preterm birth, platelet $\ge 151 \times 10^3 / \mu$ L, and IUGR with APGAR score at five minutes outcome and found that early or late preeclampsia (adj usted OR = 0.205; 95% CI = 0.06 – 0.76), preterm birth (adj usted OR = 0.478; 95% CI = 0.10 – 2.22), platelet (adj usted OR = 0.402;

DISCUSSION

In women with preeclampsia, we often see that there is an insufficient placental circulation.

Variable	Low APGAR score 1 minute			Low APGAR score 5 minutes		
	р	adjOR	95% CI	р	adjOR	95% CI
Leukocyte ≥15 x 0³/µL	< 0.001	3.63	1.93 - 6.86	-	-	-
Early onset preeclampsia	< 0.001	0.20	0.09 - 0.42	0.17	0.205	0.06 - 0.76
Preterm birth	0.004	0.32	0.14 - 0.70	0.346	0.478	0.10 - 2.22
Platelet ≥15 x 10³/μL	0.002	0.38	0.17 - 0.86	0.079	0.402	0.15 - 1.11
Mean arterial pressure ≥135 mmHg	0.98	1.00	0.51 - 2.00	-	-	-
Hematocrit ≥38.95%	0.33	0.75	0.42 - 1.34	-	-	-
Intra-uterine growth restriction	0.25	0.59	0.24 - 1.45	0.05	0.305	0.93 - 1.00

Table 4. Multivariate analysis of low APGAR score (1 minute), low APGAR score (5 minutes) and its risk factors

The association between abnormal placentation and preeclampsia is well known and is thought to involve in trophoblast invasion of maternal spiral arteries.¹⁴ Abnormal placentation results in inadequate uteroplacental blood flow that can lead to unsuccessful pregnancy outcomes. It is well known that low APGAR score most commonly results from uteroplacental insufficiency which is a later clinical manifestation of poor placentation and placental ischemia as may caused by preeclampsia. This may lead to the high risk of perinatal morbidity and mortality.¹⁴ In women with preeclampsia, we tend to see some of abnormality findings in physical and laboratory examinations due to the changes of blood circulation which is related to severity of preeclampsia. The major finding in this study was to conclude which covariates increase the risk of preeclampsia resulting a low APGAR score in neonates. Surprisingly, mean arterial pressure (MAP) was not a significant factor for preeclamptic women having infant born with low APGAR score after adjusting with other significant factors (platelet $\geq 151 \times 10^9 / \mu L$, early onset preeclampsia, preterm birth, leucocyte $\geq 15 \times 10^3 / \mu L$) this result is contradictive to Aabidha, et al¹³ who stated that hypertensive disorders of pregnancy increase the risk of low APGAR score for infant compared to control. Frequent ANC (visit ≥ 3) was also not associated with increased risk for preeclamptic mothers having baby with low APGAR score which is contradictive to Saito, et al² study which showed that expert obstetric management can prevent these problems in most off-springs of those women, provided they receive antenatal care and give obstetricians time to act. This was probably due to lack of management on antenatal care that was given to mothers when they were pregnant. Although there were several often

general concordance between our findings and those of others, discrepancies might be explained by factors that were neither evaluated nor controlled in our study.

Weeks of gestation is a strong risk factor of adverse respiratory outcome that was part of scoring in APGAR, it was obviously documented in several studies¹⁰ which concluded prematurity as an independent risk factor for perinatal adverse outcomes (OR = 43.9; p = 0.001).¹⁰ In this study, we found that preterm birth associated with increased risk of low APGAR score at one minute but not in five minutes. White blood cell counts and low APGAR score could possibly be explained by fetal inflammatory response syndrome (FIRS) because maternal white blood cell count is one of the indicators of intrauterine infection and development of FIRS. Aabidha, et al¹³ found that white blood cell level of 15,750/mm³ was a significant mean level correlated with intraamniotic infection. It was shown that the risk was three fold higher for having infant born with low APGAR score.13 Hematocrit level was found statistically significant in increasing risk of infant born with low APGAR score by using multivariate analyses. Hematocrit was not significant compared to other confounding factors (p > 0.05). In this study, low platelet count was significantly associated with one minute low APGAR score in neonates. This finding of worsening thrombocytopenia may represent inflammatory process that could possibly associated in neonatal outcomes, in this case, low APGAR score in infant born. Surprisingly, after using multivariate analyses, there were no significant factors correlated with low APGAR score at five minutes of infant born, this may be caused by good resuscitation performed by the residents who helped survival of the infants.

Our study offers several strengths, the high number of preeclamptic women allowed us to study the association between obstetric parameters with neonatal outcome. However, our study has also weakness due to its retrospective design because it has potential of missing data. As we directly reported the data after delivery, we attempted to minimize bias. It was managed by well-trained obstetric residents on duty and rechecked by the consultants.

In conclusion, our study found that some of abnormal laboratory findings, severity of preeclampsia and preterm birth lead to low APGAR score in neonates. Early management of preeclampsia is needed to improve the survival of neonates born. Patients need to be educated in recognizing the warning symptoms of preeclampsia before it develops and causes complications.

Acknowledgment

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the Department of Obstetric and Gynecology, Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta, for allowing us the chance to collect the data.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors affirm no conflict of interest in this study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gawde A, Bhosale UT. A Study of maternal and perinatal outcome in preeclampsia. Int J Stat. 2014;10(2):267-70.
- Saito S, Nakashima A. A review of the mechanism for poor placentation in early-onset preeclampsia: the role of autophagy in trophoblast invasion and vascular remodeling. J Reprod Immunol. 2014;101-102:80-8.

- 3. Kishwara S, Tanira S, Omar E, Wazed F, Ara S. Effects of preeclampsia on perinatal outcome-a study done in the specialized urban hospital set up in Bangladesh. Bangladesh Med J. 2011;40(1):33-6.
- Zhang J, Landy HJ, Branch DW, Burkman R, Haberman S, Gregory KD, et al. Contemporary patterns of spontaneous labor with normal neonatal outcomes. Obstet Gynecol. 2010;116(6):1281-7.
- 5. Krilova Y. Chances of adverse neonatal outcome in highrisk and low-risk obstetrical patients. Clin Med Insig: Women's Health. 2008;1:3-14.
- 6. Montgomery KS. APGAR scores: examining the long-term significance. J Perinat Educ. 2000;9(3):5-9.
- Jensen LV, Mathiasen R, Mølholm B, Greisen G. Low 5-min APGAR score in moderately preterm infants; association with subsequent death and cerebral palsy: a register based Danish national study. Acta Paediatr. 2012;101(2):e80-2.
- 8. Ayaz A, Muhammad T, Hussain SA, Habib S. Neonatal outcome in pre-eclamptic patients. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2009;21(2):53-5.
- Chappell LC, Enye S, Seed P, Briley AL, Poston L, Shennan Ah. Adverse perinatal outcomes and risk factors for preeclampsia in women with chronic hypertension: a prospective study. Hypertension. 2008;51(4):1002-9.
- Verspyck E, Bisson V, Roman H, Marret S. Adverse respiratory outcome after premature rupture of membranes before viability. Acta Paediatr. 2014;103(3):256-61.
- 11. Chang JJ, Muglia LJ, macones GA. Association of earlyonset preeclampsia in first pregnancy with normotensive second pregnancy outcomes: a population-based study. BJOG. 2010;117(8):946-53.
- 12. van der Ven AJ, Schaaf JM, van Os MA, de Groot CJM, Haak MC, Pajkrt E, et al. Comparison of perinatal outcome of preterm births starting in primary care versus secondary care in Netherlands: a retrospective analysis of nationwide collected data. Obstet Gynecol Int. 2014;2014(2014):1-11.
- 13. Aabidha PM, Cherian AG, Paul E, Helan J. Maternal and fetal outcome in pre-eclampsia in a secondary care hospital in South India. J Family Med Prim Care. 2015;4(2):257-60.
- 14. Kovo M, Shreiber L, Elyashiv O, Ben-Haroush A, Abraham G, Bar J. Pregnancy outcome and placental findings in pregnancies complicated by fetal growth restriction with and without preeclampsia. Reprod Sci. 2015;22(3):316-21.