Twiddler’s syndrome in patient with single chamber pacemaker
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Abstract

Twiddler’s syndrome is a well-known complication of pacemaker treatment. This syndrome is characterized by coiling of the pacemaker lead due to the rotation of pacemaker generator on its long axis. Lead damage could cause lead fracture or insulation leakage. The syndrome is also responsible for lead dislodgement, diaphragmatic stimulation, twitching upper arm due to plexus brachial nerve stimulation and loss of capture. Understanding risk factors and preventive measurement is very important. In this case report we present an 84 year-old patient who managed to rotate his single chamber pacemaker generator unintentionally following implantation in which the syndrome occurred within 2 months causing insulation leakage and battery depletion. For the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of twiddler’s syndrome in Indonesia. (Med J Indones 2011; 20:295-7)
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CASE REPORT

A 84-year-old man, was admitted to our emergency department because of sudden onset of diaphragmatic stimulation, twitching of the right upper extremity and severe bradycardia due to loss capture of his pacemaker (figure 1). No history of having stroke, but he has suffered from dementia over the last 2 years. He had been complaining of fatigue and light headiness for the past 6 months.

Three months ago, he underwent pacemaker implantation of VVIR (Medtronic SSIR, Minneapolis, MN, USA) due to severe bradycardia and atrial fibrillation at another hospital. The approach for the implantation was from cephalic vein. The electrode was tine lead, passive fixation (5092 model Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA) ventricular lead with bipolar configuration and was securely placed at the right ventricular apex. The R wave was 8.7 mV, and the pacing threshold was quite good (0.4 V) and the impedance was 830 ohm at the time of implantation. The lead was a ligature to the pectoralis muscle with non-absorbable silk around suture-sleeves. The pacemaker was placed at the subcutaneous tissue regarded as standard implantation and was completed in about 35 min.

When he was at our emergency department, physical examination revealed his arterial blood pressure was 120/70 mmHg and his pulse rate was 34/min. Cardiac auscultation findings were normal. A pulsation in his abdomen due to diaphragmatic stimulation was observed. The right extremity twitching was also observed. Programming pacemaker confirmed there was insulation leakage and the impedance was very low (< 100 ohm) leading to battery depletion. Neither sensing nor pacing was possible (figure 1).

On chest X-rays taken at our hospital, the tip of ventricular lead was in the distal cephalic vein, and there was coaxial twisting of the lead around the pacemaker generator (Figure 2).
The patient was brought into cathlab, and temporary pacemaker was inserted. The damaged lead was removed without any complication. Because both lead and pulse generator were damaged (figure 3), a new VVI pulse generator set was implanted without any difficulties. The lead was positioned at the mid septal of the right ventricle. The new pocket was made intra-pectoral muscle and pulse generator was sutured to surrounding tissues with un-absorbable suture. On 12 months follow-up, he is well and the pacemaker was having normal function.

![Figure 1. Surface ECG tracing revealed loss of capture of spike of the pacemaker without any sensing. There is severe bradycardia with fine atrial fibrillation.](image1)

![Figure 2. X-ray finding showed the tip of the lead located at the ostium of the cephalic vein and coiling around pulse generator pocket.](image2)

![Figure 3. Proximal part of the lead with many twists during lead removal.](image3)

**DISCUSSION**

Twiddler’s syndrome is a very rare complication of pacemaker implantation. The syndrome occurs more often among elderly, obese, dementia, and mentally disorder patients. Previous reports have noted a tendency of complication of twiddler’s syndrome in women, suggesting lax subcutaneous tissue along the pendulous breast that may potentially form a large loose pocket. Children may be more at risk of twiddler’s syndrome. Children’s understanding of the possible consequences of twiddling is poor and access to the generator and lead is easier because they have thinner subcutaneous tissue. Although the syndrome was reported in pacemakers patients, it is also now recognized in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillator leading to or causing its failure. This complications usually occurs in the first year of implantation, although there is a report of late occurrence.
Most of the twiddler’s syndrome causes lead fracture and increase in impedance lead.\textsuperscript{8,16} In our case, this is very unusual because there is a leakage of the lead insulation and in turn causing battery depletion.

The cardiac symptomatology usually present as phrenic stimulation by lead dislodgement producing diaphragmatic stimulation or arm twitching caused by brachial plexus stimulation.\textsuperscript{4,17}

As general population grows older, it is reasonable to expect an increase in the incidence of cardiovascular implantable electronic device implantation. In substantial proportion of this elderly population, there is an expected increased prevalence of dementia, thus the incidence of the syndrome may increase in the future.

The syndrome can be avoided by minimizing pocket size and suturing the device to surrounding tissues.\textsuperscript{14} Other preventive measures include using a compression band around the upper chest and shoulder, and tightening of the patient’s arm for at least five to seven days.\textsuperscript{17} The use of a Dacron patch would stabilize the pulse generator by promoting tissue in-growth. The necessity for careful follow-up, especially in the first few months after surgery, needs to be underscored. The use of a Dacron pouch and adequate fixation of the device header should be strongly considered for patients at risk.\textsuperscript{18,19} For the best of knowledge, this is the first case reported in Indonesia.
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