Is Widal test still a usefull method as a routine early diagnostic for typhoid fever in hospitals?
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Abstract

In this study, we carried out Widal test to establish whether it is still significant as a routine early diagnostic tool of typhoid fever in the present time. We used three groups of patients: the first two groups were hospitalized patients with fever, of which single blood specimen were taken and confirmed by culture as positive (group I) and negative (group II), and the third group was healthy blood donors. Anti O agglutinin titers regarded as positive were those exceeding 1:80. The sensitivity of single Widal test was 37%, specificity 97%, positive predictive value 91%, and negative predictive value 73%. Other serology tests developed currently, among others the use of outer membrane protein preparation of Salmonella typhi as an antigen in the ELISA test, have shown higher sensitivity and specificity than the Widal test. Therefore, the use of the Widal test as a routine early diagnostic tool for typhoid fever might need to be reconsidered.
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Typhoid fever is an endemic acute systemic illness caused by infection of Salmonella typhi (S. typhi). The disease remains a public health problem in developing countries, including Indonesia. In several countries annual epidemiological data for typhoid fever are obtained from clinical or laboratory results, and as the disease mimics several infectious diseases, it is therefore difficult to obtain a true picture of it.

The best method to detect an infection caused by S. typhi is by isolation of the microorganism from clinical specimens.1 This method is, however, time consuming, i.e. it usually takes 2-8 days,2-7 and it depends on laboratory facilities available in the areas. Among the many methods of obtaining clinical specimens, blood culture is the one routinely used for the isolation of the microorganism. Although culture may show specificity, it lacks sensitivity mainly if the patients have already taken antibiotic treatments. On the other hand, routine serology test, i.e. Widal test,8 although widely used, lacks sensitivity and specificity. For a meaningful interpretation of the test, demonstration of a 4-fold rise in antibody titers between acute and convalescent sera in a 7-14 days interval is essential.3,6

Due to those reasons, during the last decade efforts have been carried out in developing newer methods for early diagnosis of typhoid fever. One of the diagnostic methods to be developed is ELISA using outer membrane protein (OMP) preparation as an antigen.9-11 Previous studies have indicated the suitability of S. typhi OMPs as immunogens in stimulating anti-S. typhi antibodies formation.12-14 Earlier study conducted by Moehario, et al. (1997)15 showed the
reactivity of sera of patients with typhoid fever against OMP preparations derived from local S. typhi strains, however, the result was not in conformity with others.3,5,16 In this study, we reassessed the use of Widal test as an early diagnostic tool for typhoid fever from hospitalized patients and, moreover, compared the significant difference to the earlier published results of ELISA test using OMP preparations derived from local S. typhi strain by Muliawan, et al. (1997).11

METHODS

Blood specimens from hospitalized patients in private hospitals were collected from June - November 1997. Twenty-seven specimens, derived from clinically diagnosed typhoid fever patients and were culture-positive for S. typhi, will be subsequently designated as group I; 46 specimens derived from clinically diagnosed typhoid fever patients and were culture-negative for S. typhi (group II); and 70 healthy subjects as group III. Sera from the three groups were tested by the Widal test, using a rapid slide kit (Murex Diagnostica, SA, France). Interpretation of significant anti-O agglutinin titers for recent infection regarded as positive were those exceeding 1:80 (Murex Diagnostica, SA, France). The same specimens were also tested by an ELISA test using local OMP preparation as reported in the earlier publication.11 The significant difference was analyzed by 'spearman correlation coefficients' method.

RESULTS

Results of Widal test of hospitalized patients and compared to culture were shown in Table I.

Table 1. The results of Widal test and culture in group I and II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Widal</th>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity 10/27 x 100% = 37%
Specificity 45/46 x 100% = 97%
Positive predictive value (PPV) 10/11 x 100% = 91%
Negative predictive value (NPV) 45/62 x 100% = 73%

DISCUSSION

In this study, Widal test was performed only for anti-O agglutinins, while anti-H agglutinins were not evaluated, as they have no diagnostic value in adult patients with fever in endemic areas.11,17 Of the 27 S. typhi culture-positive blood specimens (group I), 17 were Widal negative. Only one specimen was Widal positive in the 46 S. typhi culture-negative blood specimens (group II), while all specimens from healthy subjects were Widal negative (group III). These negative results in group I may be due to the fact that the specimens were obtained in the first week of fever, when antibody titer was still undetectable. This is corroborated by Senewiratne, et al. (1977)1 who found that in Widal test, the increase in antibody titer to diagnostic levels was generally highest in the second or third week of illness, amounting to 95.7%, while the increase in titer in the first week was just 85.7%. Another possible reason is that the cut off value for positive titer used in the Widal test is not suitable for Indonesian population. Therefore, it is best to use a cut off value for positive results in the Widal test that is directly derived from a population of adults in the endemic area under study. The single Widal positive blood specimen in S. typhi culture-negative specimens (group II) may be explained by a cross reaction with other Salmonella species, due to the similarities of their polysaccharide content of the somatic antigens. The Widal test in group I and group II patients with fever of one week duration, as compared to the results of culture, yielded a sensitivity of 37%, a specificity of 97%, a positive predictive value of 91%, and a negative predictive value of 73%.

Earlier study carried out by Muliawan, et al. (1997) showed that the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of ELISA test using OMP preparations was 81.5%, 100%, 100%, and 90.2%, respectively.11 The significant difference of the ELISA test in comparison to the Widal, was analyzed statistically by the above mentioned method. The result was P < 0.005. However, other study conducted by Hasan (1999),18 which compared the available dot blot kit (Typhidot M) to conventional Widal test showed, in fact, no significant difference between those methods. Seemingly, the use of OMP preparation of S. typhi from a local strain as an antigen is more appropriate to our conditions and gives more reliable results than non-local strain S. typhi.

CONCLUSION

In view of the inconclusive results of the single Widal test, its routine use in hospitals as a routine early
diagnostic tool for typhoid fever might be reconsidered. Further, a more suitable test such the ELISA test using OMP preparations of *S. typhi* as an antigen could be taken into account as an alternative method.
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