Association of disease activity and pericardial effusion on systemic lupus erythematosus patients
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Abstrak
Efusi perikard merupakan kelainan jantung yang paling sering ditemukan pada pasien lupus eritematosus sistemik. Adanya efusi perikard sering diikaitkan dengan aktivitas penyakit, hipoalbuminemias dan gagal ginjal kronik. Telah dilakukan penelitian prospektif untuk melihat hubungan antara aktivitas penyakit dengan kejadian efusi perikard pada pasien rawat inap dan rawat jalan di Bagian Penyakit Dalam RS. Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo mulai bulan Oktober 1995 sampai Juli 1996. Aktivitas penyakit dinilai dengan Lupus Activity Criteria Count (LACC). Dilakukan pemeriksaan ekokardiografi mode-M dan 2-D untuk mendeteksi efusi perikard pada tiga puluh enam pasien LES masing-masing 17 pasien LES aktif dan 29 LES tak aktif. Pada penelitian ini efusi perikard lebih sering ditemukan secara bermakna pada LES aktif (p < 0.01) dan merupakan faktor risiko yang independen.

Abstract
Pericardial effusion (PE) is the most common cardiac abnormality found in SLE. The presence of PE was frequently associated with disease activity, hypoalbuminemia and chronic renal failure. A prospective study had been done to observe the correlation between disease activity, and the presence of PE in patients with SLE admitted at the Department of Internal Medicine Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital from October 1995 to June 1996. In this study the disease activity was measured with lupus activity criteria count (LACC) and M-mode and 2-D echocardiography to detect the presence of pericardial effusion. Of the 36 patients with SLE, 17 patients were found with active SLE and 19 with inactive SLE. This study showed that the PE was more frequently found in active SLE (P < 0.01) and constituted independent risk factors.
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INTRODUCTION
Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is an autoimmune disease with highly varied clinical manifestations involving many organs. Cardiac abnormalities constitute one of very important SLE clinical manifestations, because of their impact on morbidity and mortality.

Pericarditis is the most frequently encountered cardiac abnormality in SLE in their review article reported a prevalence of pericarditis amounting 25.6% out of 194 SLE patients, and from 254 autopsy cases, they found an even higher prevalence of 62.1%.
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These figures demonstrate that asymptomatic pericardial involvement is often found. Cohen and Li reported a mortalities due to pericarditis and myocarditis in 15% of their SLE patients. With increasing SLE patient life expectancy and better imaging modalities, cardiac involvement in SLE may be more frequently diagnosed. Using M-mode and 2-D echocardiography, the prevalence of pericardial effusion in SLE patients was reported to range from 20 to 54% in which most of them were without clinical manifestations.

Lupus pericarditis may be manifested as cardiac tamponade cases. Kahl reported cardiac tamponade in 13% of pericarditis cases and in 2.5% of all SLE cases. Several factors might contribute to the development of cardiac abnormalities in SLE, i.e: disease activity, duration of disease, length of steroid use, and the presence of anticardiolipin antibody. Some studies indicated that there was a relationship between disease activity and cardiac abnormalities in SLE. However the association
of disease activity and pericardial effusion is still unknown due to the fact that, bias caused by confounding factors like hypoalbuminemia and chronic renal failure were not considered. In addition the criteria of disease activity used are also varied. This study was therefore performed to assess association of disease activity and pericardial effusion prevalence by taking into account also all possible confounding factors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross sectional study was conducted on all hospitalized and ambulatory SLE patients in the Department of Internal Medicine, University of Indonesia Medical School, Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta from October 1995 to July 1996. The diagnosis of SLE was established according to the revised ARA criteria.\(^{(39)}\) Exclusion criteria were as follows (1) pericardial effusion as the only clinical manifestation of SLE activity, (2) pulmonary or extrapulmonary tuberculosis, (3) MCTD (mixed connective tissue disease), (4) acute myocardial infarction, (5) congestive heart failure, (6) malignancy, (7) acute rheumatic fever, (8) postpericardiotomy, (9) postirradiation of the chest. Disease activity was assessed by using LACC (Lupus Activity Criteria Count).\(^{(40)}\) Based on calculation the minimal number of sample was 10 patients for pericardial effusion (PE) and non pericardial effusion (NPE) groups.

For all patients, a complete history and physical examination, standard ECG and chest X ray were taken. Laboratory investigations included: peripheral blood and urinalysis, ureum, creatin, albumin, anti dsDNA, complement C3 and C4. M-mode and two-dimensional echocardiographic examinations were conducted in all patients by using a Toshiba echocardiographic unit with mechanical transducer of 3.5 MHZ. Standard parasternal long axis, short axis and apical 4 chamber examination were done. Recordings were performed with Toshiba ultrasonostrip chart on paper speed of 50 mm/second. Echocardiographic data were read by a cardiologist who did not know the patients condition and the results were analyzed according to the recommendation of the American Society of Echocardiography.\(^{(41)}\)

RESULTS

In a period from October 1995 to July 1996, 42 SLE patients satisfied the 1982 ARA criteria. Four patients were excluded from the study because they did not appear for echocardiographic examination and two other patients with MCTD were also excluded, leaving 36 eligible patients.

Prevalence of pericardial effusion

Based on echocardiographic examination 13 (36.11\%) SLE patients were shown to have pericardial effusion and 23 (63.89\%) patients had no pericardial effusion. Of the 13 patients with pericardial effusion, 10 (76.92\%) patients had mild effusion, 2 (15.38\%) patients had moderate effusion and 1 (7.69\%) patient had severe effusion.

Seventeen patients (47.2\%) had active SLE according to ALCC criteria, of whom 11 patients (64.7\%) had pericardial effusion. Of the remaining inactive patients only 2 subjects (10.5\%) had pericardial effusion.

Characteristics of patient

Patient characteristics of both groups of patients are listed in Table 1.

Association of disease activity and prevalence of pericardial effusion

In the PE group 11 patients (83.3\%) had active SLE, whereas in the NPE group active SLE was found in 6 patients (26.09\%) (X^2=9.1 \ p=0.002, OR 15). To assess the relationship of some risk factors such as disease activity, chronic renal failure, severe hypo-albuminemia and the presence of pericardial effusion, multivariate analysis was done with Backward stepwise method (LR). Prevalence of pericardial effusion was mostly related to disease activity (p=0.002 CI=2.65-91.56).

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of pericardial effusion in our series of 36 patients, was 36.11\%. This figure was similar to a prevalence of 21-54\% reported in the literature. Table 2 shows the prevalence of pericardial effusion in various reports.

The apparently slight disparity in the prevalence of pericardial effusion could be due to differences in the level of disease activity at the time of echocardiographic examination and in racial distribution. Pericardial effusion was mild in 27.78\%, of the patients moderate in 5.56\% and severe in 2.78\%. These figures are not so different from another study by Badui et al\(^{(18)}\) who found, moderate and severe pericardial effusion in 26\%, 9\% and 4\%, of their patients respectively. Cervera et al\(^{(12)}\) also came up with similar figures, i.e. mild, moderate, and severe pericardial effusion.
effusion in 20%, 4% and 3%, of the patients respectively. Difference in the level of disease activity SLE at the time of examination could explain the dissimilar distribution of the severity pericardial effusion in some reports. The higher prevalence of pericardial effusion in active SLE in our study compared to that in the inactive SLE patients was in accordance with other reports by Cervera et al.\(^\text{12}\) and Leung et al.\(^\text{16}\).

**Association of disease activity and prevalence of pericardial effusion**

In this study disease activity was a risk factor for pericardial effusion with an odds ratio of 15 times compared to inactive group. This is in agreement with some studies done abroad. Crozier et al.\(^\text{14}\) reported from their series of 50 SLE patients a trend towards higher prevalence of pericardial effusion in active SLE as determined by LACC criteria. A possible explanation is the presence of confounding factors such as hypoalbuminemia, chronic renal failure, difference in the level of disease activity.

Cervera et al.\(^\text{12}\) reported pericardial effusion only in active SLE patient. Activity criteria were if there is symptom or the following signs: specific dermatitis (malar rash), arthritis, serositis, central nervous system disorder (recently occurred chorea, convulsion, psychosis, organic brain syndrome not caused by drug or metabolic disorder, cerebrovascular disturbance due

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Researchers</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of patient</th>
<th>Modalities Pericardial Effusion</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>M-mode, 2-D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ito et al.(^\text{19})</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>M-mode</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>45.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chia et al. (quoted from 6)</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M-mode</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badui et al.(^\text{18})</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>M-mode</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klinkoff et al.(^\text{17})</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>M-mode, 2-D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doherty et al.(^\text{16})</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M-mode, 2-D</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>42.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leung et al.(^\text{16})</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>M-mode, 2-D</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nihoyannopoulos(^\text{15})</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>M-mode, 2-D</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crozier et al.(^\text{14})</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M-mode, 2-D</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>54.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ong et al.(^\text{13})</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M-mode, 2-D</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>47.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervera(^\text{12})</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M-mode, 2-D</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to embolism, thrombocytopenia (< 100.000/1), haemolytic anaemia, vasculitis (biopsy), or nephritis (recently occurred haematuria > 10 erythrocyte/field, or cylinder, or proteinuria (> 500 mg/24 hour) or increase in serum creatinin of 25%.

Leung et al16 also observed pericardial effusion more commonly in active SLE patients. Criteria of activity were when there is at least 3 of the following clinical features: fever, without evidence of infection, serositis, new skin lesion or exacerbation, recently occurred or progressive alopecia, oral ulcers, central nervous system involvement, lymphadenopathy, leucopenia, thrombocytopenia, ESR > 55 mm/hour without evidence of infection, low complement and active nephritis.

Although Cervera et al21 and Leung et al16 used different criteria for activity their components were not still very much alike. Macedo et al,42 found prevalence of pericardial effusion was associated with higher SLEDAI score disease activity. SLEDAI score include components which are very similar to LACC, but each component is measured for its score.

Based on above mentioned studies, one may conclude that pericardial effusion occurs more frequently in active SLE patients. This is in line with the pathogenesis of pericardial effusion in SLE that is assumed to be due to immune complex deposit originating from antigen and antibody reaction. In active SLE the increasing formation of antibody will elevate circulating immune complex which inturn will facilitate tissue deposit.

Ong et al13 however did not find any relationship between LACC disease activity and cardiac abnormalities in SLE including: valvuvar deformity, left ventricular disorder, pericardial abnormalities (pericardial effusion and pericardial thickening) and right cardiac disorder. In this study, however, the association between pericardial effusion and disease activity was not specifically evaluated.

In SLE, pericardial effusion may also be caused by hypoalbuminemia and chronic renal failure. In a report by Ong et al13 of hypoalbuminemia (serum albumin < 3,5 g/dl) was found in 76% of patients with pericardial effusion, and all of them had severe pericardial effusion. Nevertheless they failed to consider hypoalbuminemia as a contributing factor of pericardial effusion. In our study hypoalbuminemia (albumin < 3,5 g/dl) was detected in 10 (76.92%) patients with pericardial effusion. In the PE group normal al-

bumin level (albumin > 4 g/dl) was found in one patient with pericardial effusion and one patient with mild pericardial effusion. One other patient with an albumin concentration of 3,5 g/dl had mild pericardial effusion. In NPE group albumin level was normal in most cases (91.3%).

The occurrence of generalized edema was associated to severe hypoalbuminemia (< 2,5 g/dl) including pericardial effusion. Based on absolute Fisher tests this severe hypoalbuminemia was significantly more prevalent in the PE group compared to NPE group (X²=6.79 p=0.005).

Chronic renal failure in another potential cause of pericardial effusion (uremic pericarditis), especially in overload condition. In the PE group, chronic renal failure was found in 5 out of 13 patients (38.46%) with pericardial effusion, while in the NPE group chronic renal failure was not observed. Of these five patients, one patient suffered from pericardial effusion and four cases had mild pericardial effusion. On absolute Fisher tests, chronic renal failure was found significantly more common in the PE group compared to the NPE group (X²=7.309 p=0.003).

At multivariate analysis, of various risk factors: disease activity, hypoalbuminemia and chronic renal failure, disease activity was found to be an independent risk factor (p=0.002). The association of hypoalbuminemia and chronic renal failure with pericardial effusion was not significant.
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