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Abstract

Concern over the rapidly growing world’s population has stimulated andrologists to find effective, safe, and reversible contraceptive substances for men.

Nowadays, medical treatments involving the use of contraceptive pills are widely applied in the family planning program. Ideally, such contraceptive substances should have reversible capacity to inhibit spermatogenesis without effecting libido and sexual behavior. 1

Hormone and anti-hormone that can impede the production of sperms by interfering with the activity of hypothalamus-hypophysis-testis are basically useful as birth control. 4 To interrupt spermatogenesis at the hypothalamus level, for example, a substance that inhibits the production of Gonadotropin Releasing Hormone (GnRH) is required. GnRH is a peptide hor-
mone secreted from hypothalamus, stimulates the synthesis and release of LH (luteinizing hormone) and FSH (follicle-stimulating hormone). Both LH and FSH are produced by hypophysis; in men LH stimulates the production of testosterone by the Leydig cell within the testes, while FSH stimulates the production of ABP (androgen binding protein) by the Sertoli cell of the testes. Both testosterone and ABP have very important role in the process of spermatogenesis. But the testosterone in high concentration decreases the production of LH and FSH directly.

On the other hand, at the hypophysis level hormone with a direct influence to the process is more needed. Of various hormone contained in contraceptive pills, combination of TE (testosterone enanthate) and DMPA (depot medroxy progesterone acetate) has been shown to be very effective in causing azoosperma or oligosperma. Fertile males treated by those hormones combination show reduced concentration of sperm, normal shape of sperm, and sperm motility. In addition, the treatment also reduces the integrity of sperm membrane so that penetration to cervix is hampered.

Although the relationship of TE with azoosperma has been confirmed, it is still not known whether its effect is also influenced by nutritional factors. Data of WHO and Wajte showed that Caucasian and Mongolian men respond differently to TE. It has been suggested that the different in their eating pattern might cause higher susceptibility of the Mongolian to TE (90% affected) compared to the Caucasian (only 50% affected). According to Hamilton and Bronson, and Vawda and Mandlevan, protein deficiency in male rats can reduce their hypophysis activities. Consequently, the production of hypophysis controlled hormone, such as FSH and LH, which are important in the spermatogenesis, will be disturbed.

Another research showed that lower concentration of androgen binding protein (ABP) is detected in blood plasma of male rat offsprings that have experienced protein deficiency. The presence of ABP in blood is necessary for transporting the androgen to germ cells, so that spermatogenesis can be induced. However, protein deficiency reduces the hypothalamus activity, that in turn affecting the production of ABP.

This research is conducted to investigate the effect of TE injection and malnutrition (protein energy malnutrition = PEM) to sperm quality and spermatogenesis of albino male rats. It is hypothesized that PEM male rats injected with 1 mg TE once a week for eight weeks will show lower sperm quality and quantity. The quality of sperm is qualitatively determined by its concentration, shape or morphology, and viability. In addition to those informations, data on testis weight, its diameter, diameter of seminiferous tubule, the number of A-spermatogonium and the number of pachytyene spermatocyte/tubule, will also be evaluated.

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

Animals used in this research were male albino rat strain LMR (Lembaga Makanan Rakyat) (Wistar derived). The number of experimental animals were 36, which were divided into two groups:

1. Group one, consists of 18 animals and was given food on ad libitum base for up to three months old, the animal weight of approximately between 150-200 g (Normal = N)

2. Group two, consists of 18 animals and was given a diet food to induce protein energy malnutrition; therefore, their weights were lower, around 60-70% of normal animals (correspond to protein energy malnutrition = PEM).

Each group was divided into 3 subgroups:

- C (untreated control) animals (n = 6)
- TC (treated control = placebo control) animals (n = 6) injected with 0.2 ml solvent (wijen oil) once a week beginning at 12th week after birth.
- T (treated) animals (n = 6) injected with 1 mg TE in 0.2 ml solvent (wijen oil) once a week beginning at 12th week after birth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timetable</th>
<th>weeks after birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21</td>
<td>normal food (group 1)/diet food (group 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++++++++----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>injected with TE or solvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sacrificed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Animals were housed individually in 26x21x11 cm cages and they were floored by saw-dust to absorb urine. Testosterone enanthate (TE) and its solvent (wijen oil) was made by PT Schering Indonesia. The food was prepared in the Diponegoro unit of Nutrition of the Ministry of Health Office. At the end of the treatment (21 weeks afterbirth) all animals were sacrificed; both vas deferenses were excised and place in petri dish containing 0.25 ml 0.85% NaCl. Both testis were also excised, weighted and then fixed in Bouin’s solution then processed for histological preparation and evaluation.

The parameters evaluated of sperm collected from vas deferens were: a) sperm concentration, b) sperm morphology, and c) sperm viability. The parameters evaluated from the testis were: d) the weight, e) testis diameter, f) seminiferous tubule diameter, g) the number of A-spermatogonium, and h) the number of pachytene-spermatocyte. A Randomized Block Design was used with two factors (Normal and PEM animal) and three steps (injected by TE, solvent oil and control). Data were normalized and homogenized before statistical techniques were applied. Factorial tests two by three were used to compare six different treatments. If distribution of the data were abnormal, then non-parametric statistics were used. Analysis of variance (ANOVA-test) and HST (Honest Significant Test) were applied to see the difference among elements.

RESULTS

The results of parameters evaluated are as follows:

**Sperm concentration (vas deferens)**

Mean and SD of sperm concentration (million/ml) of normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) rats injected with testosterone enanthate (TE), is depicted in Figure 1.
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*C = untreated control; TC = placebo/treated control; T = treated, injected with TE*

**Sperm morphology (Normal head sperm)**

Mean and SD of normal head sperm (%) of normal and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) rats injected with TE, is depicted in Figure 2.

![Figure 2](image2)

*C = untreated control; TC = placebo/treated control; T = treated, injected with TE*

**Sperm viability**

Mean and SD of sperm viability (%) of normal and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) rats injected with TE, is depicted in Figure 3.
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*C = untreated control; TC = placebo/treated control; T = treated, injected with TE*

**Testis weight**

Mean and SD of testis weight (mg) of normal and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) rats injected with TE, is depicted in Figure 4.
**Testis diameter**

Mean and SD of testis diameter (cm) of normal and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) rats injected with TE, is depicted in Figure 5.

**Seminiferous tubule diameter**

Mean and SD of seminiferous tubule diameter (µm) of normal and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) rats injected with TE, is depicted in Figure 6.

**A-Spermatogonium**

Mean and SD of A-spermatogonium number/tubule of normal and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) rats injected with TE, is depicted in Figure 7.

**Pachytene spermatocyte**

Mean and SD of pachytene spermatocyte number/tubule of normal and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) rats injected with TE is depicted in Figure 8.
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The Statistical Analysis

Distribution and Homogeneity of data

Before analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was carried out, normality data were tested using normality and homogeneity test. Data on sperm concentration, weight of albino male testis, diameter of albino testis, and diameter of tubulus seminiferous were distributed normal and homogen. Some characters were distributed normal but were not homogen such as sperm viability and head shape of sperm. Conversely, data on A-spermatogonium and pachytene spermatocyte were distributed abnormal but homogen. Those abnormal and not homogen characters were transformed using Arcsin square root x, before ANOVA and Honest significance test were applied.

Sperm concentration

The results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) test on sperm concentration show that both in normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animals TE injection declined the sperm concentration significantly (P < 0.01) compared to the uninjected and placebo control. There was no difference of the sperm concentration (P > 0.05) between TE injected PEM and TE injected normal animals.

Sperm morphology

ANOVA test on sperm morphology shows that both in normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animals TE injection declined the normal head sperm significantly (P < 0.01) compared to the uninjected and placebo control. There was no difference of the sperm concentration (P > 0.05) between TE injected PEM and TE injected normal animals.

Sperm viability

ANOVA test for sperm viability shows that both in normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animals TE injection declined the sperm concentration significantly (P < 0.01) compared to the uninjected and placebo control. There was no difference of the sperm concentration (P > 0.05) between TE injected PEM and TE injected normal animals.

Testis weight

ANOVA test for testis weight showed that both in normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animals TE injection declined the testis weight significantly (P < 0.01) compared to the uninjected and placebo control. The testis weight dropped significantly (P < 0.01) in TE injected PEM compared to TE injected normal animals.

Testis diameter

ANOVA test for testis diameter shows that both in normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animals TE injection declined the testis diameter significantly (P < 0.01) compared to the uninjected and placebo control. The testis diameter dropped significantly (P < 0.01) in TE injected PEM compared to TE injected normal animals.

Seminiferous tubule diameter

ANOVA test shows that both in normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animals TE injection declined the seminiferous tubule diameter significantly (P < 0.01) compared to the uninjected and placebo control. The diameter of tubule dropped significantly (P < 0.01) in TE injected PEM compared to TE injected normal animals.

A-spermatogonium

ANOVA test shows that both in normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animals TE injection declined the number of A-spermatogonium significantly (P < 0.01) compared to the uninjected and placebo control. The number of A-spermatogonium dropped significantly (P < 0.01) in TE injected PEM compared to TE injected normal animals.
Figure 4. Case no. 4, male, 62 years old, Multiple Myeloma

Figure 5. Case no. 5, male, 68 years old, Multiple Myeloma

Figure 6. Mean Hb, Ht, from 5 cases
Pachytene spermatocyte

ANOVA test showed that both in normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animals TE injection declined the number of pachytene spermatocyte significantly (P < 0.01) compared to the un.injected and placebo control. The number of pachytene spermatocyte declined significantly (P < 0.01) in TE injected PEM compared to TE injected normal animals.

DISCUSSION

The declining of sperm concentration after TE injection either on the normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animals is very likely. This is due to a negative feedback of exogenous testosterone directly to the hypophysis which suppresses the production of LH and FSH or may be through the hypothalamus so the production of RH-LH decreases and eventually the production of LH and FSH will also drop. In both cases, finally the process of spermatogenesis will be suppressed. Since there is no difference of sperm concentration between normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animals, there is no interaction between TE injection and protein energy malnutrition on spermatogenesis, so the declining of sperm concentration in this experiment is solely due to the TE injection.

The same explanation is hold true also for sperm morphology, so the decreasing number of normal sperm morphology was due to the TE injection that hampered the process of organelle cell development. Since there was no difference the number of normal sperm morphology between TE injected in both normal (N) and PEM animals, so it was very likely that the decreasing number of sperm morphology was due to the TE injection.

The same results and very likely also the mechanism were also found on the viability of the sperm in the sense that the number of viable sperm also declined after TE injection in both normal and PEM animals; this declining was also due to exogenous testosterone, because there was no interaction between TE injection and PEM.

The most interesting phenomenon is that the viability of sperm in the untreated control PEM animals also declined, although in the treated control of PEM animals did not. This is probably due to the calory deficiency; this deficiency might be disrupt the cell membrane with the end result affected the viability. In the treated control of PEM, this deficiency has been met by the injection of the solvent (wienen oil). According to Sediaetama15 wienen oil contained 43% of UFA (polyunsaturated fatty acid), essential fatty acid which is very important for cell membrane integrity.

Our finding showed that both in normal (N) and PEM, TE injection caused decrease of weight and diameter of the testis and also seminiferous tubule diameter. As has been mentioned earlier, TE injection can suppressed the spermatogenesis through feedback mechanism; accordingly the number of germinal cell within the tubules will also decreased. So it is reasonable that the diameter of the tubules and the diameter of the testis and also the weight of the testis decreased. But for PEM group another factor, protein energy malnutrition (PEM) should be considered, since there was a difference between TE injected treated PEM animals with the TE injected normal (N) animals (significantly lower in PEM group), for all three parameters (testis weight, testis diameter and tubule diameter). The result of statistical analysis support such preposition, since there was positive interaction between factor TE injection and PEM.

According to Lermite and Tergui14 reported that nutritional status seem to be an additional factor regulating sex-steroid binding protein (SBP) level which may alter the percentage of SBP available for positive or negative feedback; the decline of SBP, results in the increasing concentration of free androgen in serum. Street et al.,15 found that nonesterified fatty acids modify binding affinities of sex steroid hormone to SBP in vitro. Since in this experiment PEM group is undernourish, it is predicted that supply of fatty acid and protein is very limited; it follows by the availability of SBP in the blood, more over if the affinity to testosterone is also low, then the blood free testosterone increases sharply upon the introduction of exogenous testosterone; followed by stronger feedback mechanism in PEM group compared to normal (N) group. Thus, this condition will induce stronger suppression of spermatogenesis in PEM than in normal (N) group.

The same explanation is hold true also for the number of A-spermatogonim and pachytene spermatocyte, so the decreasing number of both the germinal cells were due to the TE injection that hampered the proliferation during spermatogenesis. Since there was a difference between TE injected in normal (N) and PEM animals concerning to the number of germinal cells, it was very likely that the decreasing number of A-spermatogonim and pachytene spermnatoocyte in PEM animals was due to the interaction between TE injection and PEM.
The histological preparations of seminiferous tubules taken from normal (N) and PEM animals show the difference of the tubules diameter (Fig. 9 and Fig. 10).

Figure 9. Histological preparation showing the seminiferous tubule of normal diet (N) animal; K (C), untreated control; KP (CT), treated/placebo control; T (P), injected by TE. Compare the smaller tubule diameter of treated animal.

Figure 10. Histological preparation showing the seminiferous tubule of protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animal; K (C), untreated control; KP (CT), treated/placebo control; T (P), injected by TE. Compare the smaller tubule diameter of treated animal.
CONCLUSION
The effect of protein energy malnutrition (PEM) on sperm quality and spermatogenesis of male rats injected with TE, can be concluded as follow:
1. Sperm concentration, normal sperm morphology and sperm viability was decreased, in both normal diet and PEM animal; nevertheless there was no difference between treated normal diet animals and treated PEM animals.
2. Testicles weight, diameter of the testis, diameter of the seminiferous tubule, the number of spermatogonium-A, and the number of pachytene spermatocyte decreased in both normal diet and PEM animal; but there was a difference between treated normal diet animals and treated PEM animals.
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ANOVA test showed that both in normal (N) and protein energy malnutrition (PEM) animals TE injection declined the number of pachytene spermatocyte significantly (P < 0.01) compared to the un.injected and placebo control. The number of pachytene spermatocyte declined significantly (P < 0.01) in TE injected PEM compared to TE injected normal animals.
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The same explanation is hold true also for the number of A-spermatogonim and pachytene spermatocyte, so the decreasing number of both the germinal cells were due to the TE injection that hampered the proliferation during spermatogenesis. Since there was a difference between TE injected in normal (N) and PEM animals concerning to the number of germinal cells, it was very likely that the decreasing number of A-spermatogonia and pachytene spermatocyte in PEM animals was due to the interaction between TE injection and PEM.